

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION



STAFF REPORT Department of Growth Management

MEETING DATE:	February 3, 2016
PROJECT:	Lot 2A, 5739 Guilford Place – New Construction: Single-Family Residential
APPLICANT:	Pearce Scott Architects
PROJECT MANAGER:	Katie Peterson, Planning Assistant

APPLICATION REQUEST: The Applicant, Pearce Scott Architects on behalf of Eugene Marks, requests that the Historic Preservation Commission approve the following application:

1. **COFA-10-15-9383.** A Certificate of Appropriateness to allow the construction of a new single family residential structure of approximately 1,790 SF and a 100 SF garden structure, located on the property identified as 5739 Guilford Place (Lot 2A), in the Stock Farm Development and zoned as Neighborhood General-HD.

INTRODUCTION: The Applicant is proposing the construction of a detached single-family residence in the Old Town Bluffton Historic District. The property is currently undeveloped. The proposed primary structure, of approximately 1,790 SF, falls within the Additional Building classification and the outdoor pavilion of 100 SF is classified as a Garden Structure in accordance to the allowable building types for the Neighborhood General-HD zoning district. A garden structure is defined as a small accessory building which may contain storage space, trash receptacles, and other garden uses and shall not be greater than 120 square feet in footprint, or not exceed 1 story in height, and must comply with the architectural standards. The structure meets all of the requirements of a garden structure.

In June 2015, the Applicant brought forth an application to the Board of Zoning Appeals (ZONE-6-15-9269). The Board of Zoning Appeals heard the application at the July 21, 2015 meeting and granted the following variances from the Town of Bluffton Unified Development Ordinance:

1. Side yard setback at 5 feet are granted for Lots 1A-5A;
2. Rear yard setbacks at 20 feet are granted for Lots 1A-5A;
3. A buffer in accordance with UDO Section 5.3.7.B.2&3 be implemented within the full width of the rear yard setback for Lots 1A-5A.

The Applicant currently has an active application for a Development Plan Amendment (DPA-08-15-9303) to change the use from commercial use to single-

family residential development and create additional curb cuts as necessary. The application was heard at the August 25, 2015 Development Review Committee (DRC) meeting. Staff is awaiting resubmission of plans in response to comments. Once comments are addressed a Development Permit will be issued.

The building features a two-story side facing gabled structure with a two-story side facing gabled wing to the side. A porch runs along the front of the building with the main entry at the center of the house.

The Applicant proposes a building that reflects the vernacular characteristics of Bluffton by using a variety of architectural features and materials common to Bluffton. Architectural features that are similar to others found in the Historic District include a front porch, the use of tabby stucco piers and hog board at the foundation, and simple rooflines.

This project was presented to the Historic Preservation Review Committee for conceptual review at the October 26, 2015 meeting where comments were provided to the Applicant (See Attachment 5).

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION ACTIONS: As granted by the powers and duties set forth in Section 2.2.6.E.2, the Historic Preservation Commission has the authority to take the following actions with respect to this application:

1. Approve the application as submitted by the Applicant;
2. Approve the application with conditions; or
3. Deny the application as submitted by the applicant.

It is important to note that the intent of Section 5.15 Old Town Bluffton Historic District of the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO), is that the Section be user friendly and informative to the residents and the members of HPC and is not intended to discourage creativity or force the replication of historic models. Rather, it is to set forth a framework in which the diversity that has always characterized Bluffton can continue to grow. The Section also defines guidelines for design and materials similar to that used on structures within the Old Town, and it is the charge of the HPC to assess the interpretation of these guidelines as they pertain to applications using the established review criteria.

REVIEW CRITERIA & ANALYSIS: Town Staff and the Historic Preservation Commission are required to consider the criteria set forth in Section 3.18.3 of the UDO in assessing an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness – Historic District (HD). The applicable criteria are provided below followed by a Staff Finding(s) based upon review of the application submittals to date.

1. **Section 3.18.3.B.** Consistency with the principles set forth in the Old Town Bluffton Master Plan.
 - a. *Finding.* The application is consistent with the principles set forth in the Old Town Bluffton Master Plan. The Old Town Master Plan states that, “The built environment, in particular the historic structures scattered

throughout Old Town, should be protected and enhanced. While it is of great importance to save and restore historic structures, it is just as important to add to the built environment in a way that makes Old Town more complete.”

The Applicant proposes to construct a new single family residence and a garden structure within the Old Town Bluffton Historic District, a locally and nationally designated historic district. The buildings have been designed to be sympathetic to the architectural character of the neighboring historic structures, so its addition to the architectural diorama will both protect the integrity of the existing historic structures and enhance the neighborhood by adding architectural variety.

- b. *Finding.* The Old Town Master Plan initiatives also include the adoption of a form-based code that included architectural standards for structures located within the Old Town Bluffton Historic District. These standards are included in Article 5 of the Unified Development Ordinance. The new construction proposed as part of this request will be in conformance with those standards if the conditions noted in item 2 of this Section are met.
 - c. *Finding.* The Old Town Master Plan initiatives also promote preservation and protection of the legacy of the Old Town Bluffton Historic District through additions to the built environment which make Old Town more complete. The addition of the proposed residential structure and accessory structure add to the district as well as help provide completeness to the neighborhood and overall district.
2. Section 3.18.3.C. The application must be in conformance with applicable provisions provided in Article 5, Design Standards.
- a. *Finding.* Town Staff finds that the design of the primary structure falls within the category of Additional Building type as allowed in the Neighborhood General Historic District per Section 5.15.5.C. Additional Building Types are permissible. As has been past practice, the Town Staff requests the Historic Preservation Commission review and make a final recommendation regarding the appropriateness of the Additional Building Type.

Since the project is located within the Stock Farm development, the Applicant has the choice to comply with the front, rear, and side setbacks prescribed by the Stock Farm development plan or as modified by the variance (ZONE-6-15-9269) granted on July 21, 2015. The proposed site plan meets these established criteria.

- b. *Finding.* Town Staff finds that if the conditions noted below are met, the proposed addition will be in conformance with applicable provisions provided in Article 5:

- 1) Section 5.7.4.A.5. - Lot Layout and Design. As shown on the site plan access to the lot is currently proposed from Lot 3A. The UDO states that every lot shall have access to a public or private roadway and that the access may be recorded via a cross or shared access easement. Provide a shared access easement.
- 2) Section 5.15.6.H.1.A. – Columns, Arches, Piers, Railings, Balustrades. The UDO states that column spacing must be “no farther apart than they are tall”. The columns at the rear porch are approximately 9’ tall and spaced approximately 12’ apart. To meet the requirements of this section the column spacing must be modified or additional columns added to meet the requirement.
- 3) Section 5.15.6.J – Roofs and Gutters. The UDO permits Standing Seam or 5-V Crimp as metal roof configurations. The Applicant has proposed corrugated metal as the roof material. While corrugated metal is not listed as a permitted finish material, it does have a historic precedence in Bluffton. Per Section 5.15.6., HPC may approve, or deny, the use of corrugated metal roofing as an allowable substitute material for those listed in the Architectural Standards. In this circumstance, Town Staff recommends approval.

Recommendation: The center support for the railing on the rear porch is set behind the railing. A more traditional composition would be to have the railings terminate in the support rather than be set in front.

Recommendation: The use of shutters is inconsistent throughout the elevations. It is recommended that the use of shutters be revised to be more consistent.

3. Section 3.18.3.D. The nature and character of the surrounding area and consistency of the structure with the harmony of the surrounding neighborhood.

Finding. Town Staff finds that nature and character of the new construction to be consistent and harmonious with that of the surrounding neighborhood. The mass and scale of the structure is appropriate for its location and the architectural detailing is sensitive to the neighboring properties.

4. Section 3.18.3.F. The historic, architectural, and aesthetic features of the structure including the extent to which its alteration or removal would be detrimental to the public interest.

Finding. The Applicant seeks approval for the construction of a new structure and garden structure in the Old Town Bluffton Historic District. The proposed plans are sympathetic in design to the neighboring historic and non-historic

resources; therefore, the structure, as proposed, will have no adverse effect on the public interest.

5. Section 3.18.3.H. The application must comply with applicable requirements in the Applications Manual.

Finding. The application has been reviewed by Town Staff and has been determined to be incomplete.

- 1) Per the Applications Manual, provide a landscape plan.
- 2) Per the Applications Manual and Section 3.10 of the UDO - The applicant is proposing access to Guilford Place through existing parking spaces. The application was heard at the August 25, 2015 Development Review Committee (DRC) meeting. Revised plans are required to address the comments regarding the proposed curb cuts through the DRC. A Development Permit approving the curb cuts is required.


STAFF RECOMMENDATION: It is the charge of the HPC to assess and interpret the standards and guidelines set forth in the UDO as they pertain to applications using the review criteria established in the UDO and to take appropriate action as granted by the powers and duties set forth in Section 2.2.6.E.2. Town Staff finds that with the conditions noted below, the requirements of Section 3.18.3 of the Unified Development Ordinance have been met and recommends that the Historic Preservation Commission approve the application with the following conditions:

1. Per the Applications Manual, a landscape plan shall be provided to Town Staff to review for conformance with the UDO.
2. Per Section 3.10, all comments by DRC must be addressed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness through the issuance of a Development Permit authorizing the proposed curb cuts.
3. Per Section 5.7.4.A.5., access must be provided to a public or private roadway through a curb cut and recorded (if necessary) via a cross or shared access easement.
4. Per Section 5.15.6.H.1.a. of the UDO, the column spacing at the rear porches must be modified to be "no farther apart than they are tall."
5. Per Section 5.15.6. HPC approve the use of corrugated metal roofing as an allowable substitute material for those listed in the Architectural Standards.
6. It is recommended that the use of shutters be revised for consistency.

7. It is recommended that the rear porch railing be revised to be a more traditional configuration.

ATTACHMENTS:


1. Location Map
2. Zoning Map
3. Applicant Narrative
4. Site Plan & Elevations
5. HPRC Report
6. HARB Approval Letter
7. ZONE-6-15-9269



**5739 Guilford Place
Lot 2A
Location Map**

 Site

Date: 2-3-2016



DISCLAIMER:
This map was created by the Town of Bluffton Planning and Growth Management Department and is solely intended to be used as a graphical representation for the Town of Bluffton. The GIS maps and data distributed by the Town of Bluffton Planning and Growth Management Department are derived from a variety of public and private sector sources considered to be dependable, but the accuracy, completeness and currency thereof are not guaranteed. The Town of Bluffton makes no warranties, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness, currency, reliability, or suitability for any particular purpose of information or data contained in or generated from the town's Geographic Information Systems database. Additionally, the Town of Bluffton or any agent, servant, or employee thereof assume no liability associated with the use of this data, and assume no responsibility to maintain it in any matter or form.

**Lot 2A, Stock Farm
5739 Guilford Place
New Construction
Zoning Map**


 Site

-  Riverfront Edge-HD
-  Neighborhood Conservation-HD
-  Neighborhood General-HD
-  Neighborhood Center-HD
-  Neighborhood Core-HD

Date: 2-3-2016



DISCLAIMER:
This map was created by the Town of Bluffton Planning and Growth Management Department and is solely intended to be used as a graphical representation for the Town of Bluffton.
The GIS maps and data distributed by the Town of Bluffton Planning and Growth Management Department are derived from a variety of public and private sector sources considered to be dependable, but the accuracy, completeness and currency thereof are not guaranteed.
The Town of Bluffton makes no warranties, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness, currency, reliability, or suitability for any particular purpose of information or data contained in or generated from the town's Geographic Information Systems database. Additionally, the Town of Bluffton or any agent, servant, or employee thereof assume no liability associated with the use of this data, and assume no responsibility to maintain it in any matter or form.





January 08, 2016

Lot 2A Guilford Place
Stock Farm

Narrative


This property is located on Lot 2A Guilford Place in the Stock Farm Development in Old Town Bluffton.

The project consists of a new Single Family Residence of approximately 1,790 square feet. The building type is an additional building type with the characteristics of a Cottage building type. The property is located in the Neighborhood General – HD district. The Cottage will have Hardie Horizontal Siding and Vertical Butt Board. The foundation will be on Piers with Tabby Stucco Veneer. Due to the existing grade of the lot, the finished floor elevation in the front will be 36” above the side walk and in the rear the finished floor elevation will be approximately 54” above the sidewalk. The roof will be corrugated metal. The Garden Structure will be a simple 4 post structure with a Gable Roof and screening on three sides. Lot 2A and 3A will share a drive. A curb cut and easement will be required.

The building setbacks have been approved as per the Board of Zoning Appeals.

Thank you for your consideration.


H. Pearce Scott, AIA



- LEGEND:
- RBF IRON REBAR FOUND
 - P PINE
 - LO LIVE OAK
 - PNO PIN OAK
 - LA LAUREL OAK
 - WO WATER OAK
 - G GUM
 - MAG MAGNOLIA
 - PER PERSIMMON
 - PLM PALMETTO
 - SSMH SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE
 - SDMH STORM DRAINAGE MANHOLE
 - YI YARD INLET
 - GI GRATE INLET
 - x10.0 SPOT ELEVATION
 - 10- CONTOUR

- NOTES:
- THESE LOTS APPEAR TO LIE IN FLOOD ZONE C, PER FIRM PANEL No. 1A, COMMUNITY No. 450251 & PANEL 85D, COMMUNITY No. 450025, DATED 9/29/86. BASED ON REFERENCE.
 - TREE SIZES IN INCHES OF DIAMETER. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS ONE FOOT. ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE BASED ON NGS MONUMENT X75, NGVD 1929 DATUM.
 - BUILDING SETBACK LINES (B.S.L.) SHOWN WERE PROVIDED BY OTHERS.

1 1/8" = 1'-0"
SITE PLAN



2 1/8" = 1'-0"
SITE SECTION

DO NOT SCALE FROM DRAWINGS

NO.	DESCRIPTION / REVISION LOG	DATE	INITIAL
1	HFC FINAL SUBMITTAL	01.08.16	AKJ

© 2016 PEARCE SCOTT ARCHITECTS

NEW COTTAGE FOR:
EUGENE MARKS
LOT 2A GUILFORD PLACE - STOCK FARM
BLUFFTON, SC 29910

**PEARCE
SCOTT
ARCHITECTS**

PEARCE@PSCOTTARCH.COM
14 PROMENADE ST STE 303
BLUFFTON, SC 29910
843 837 5700

PROJECT NO.	1535.02
DATE	01.08.16
DRAWN BY	AKJ
CHECKED BY	HPS

**SITE PLAN &
SECTION**
SHEET NO.

A001

DO NOT SCALE FROM DRAWINGS			
NO.	DESCRIPTION / REVISION LOG	DATE	INITIAL
1	HFC FINAL SUBMITTAL	01.08.16	AKJ

© 2016 PEARCE SCOTT ARCHITECTS

NEW COTTAGE FOR:
EUGENE MARKS
LOT 2A GUILFORD PLACE - STOCK FARM
BLUFFTON, SC 29910

**PEARCE
SCOTT
ARCHITECTS**

PEARCE@PSCOTTARCH.COM
14 PROMENADE ST STE 303
BLUFFTON, SC 29910
843 837 5700

PROJECT NO.	1535.02
DATE	01.08.16
DRAWN BY	AKJ
CHECKED BY	HPS

**EXTERIOR
ELEVATIONS**
SHEET NO.

A201



1 1/4" = 1'-0"
FRONT ELEVATION



2 1/4" = 1'-0"
REAR ELEVATION

DO NOT SCALE FROM DRAWINGS			
NO.	DESCRIPTION / REVISION LOG	DATE	INITIAL
1	HFC FINAL SUBMITTAL	01.08.16	AKJ

© 2016 PEARCE SCOTT ARCHITECTS

NEW COTTAGE FOR:
EUGENE MARKS
LOT 2A GUILFORD PLACE - STOCK FARM
BLUFFTON, SC 29910


**PEARCE
SCOTT
ARCHITECTS**

PEARCE@PSCOTTARCH.COM
14 PROMENADE ST STE 303
BLUFFTON, SC 29910
843 837 5700


PROJECT NO.	1535.02
DATE	01.08.16
DRAWN BY	AKJ
CHECKED BY	HPS

**EXTERIOR
ELEVATIONS**
SHEET NO.

A202



1 1/4" = 1'-0"
LEFT SIDE ELEVATION



2 1/4" = 1'-0"
RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION

DO NOT SCALE FROM DRAWINGS			
NO.	DESCRIPTION / REVISION LOG	DATE	INITIAL
1	HFC FINAL SUBMITTAL	01.08.16	AKJ

© 2016 PEARCE SCOTT ARCHITECTS

NEW COTTAGE FOR:
EUGENE MARKS
LOT 2A GUILFORD PLACE - STOCK FARM
BLUFFTON, SC 29910


**PEARCE
SCOTT
ARCHITECTS**

PEARCE@PSCOTTARCH.COM
14 PROMENADE ST STE 303
BLUFFTON, SC 29910
843 837 5700


PROJECT NO.	1535.02
DATE	01.08.16
DRAWN BY	AKJ
CHECKED BY	HPS

**GARDEN
STRUCTURE**
SHEET NO.


OB101




5 1" = 1'-0"
WALL SECTION



3 1/4" = 1'-0"
ROOF PLAN




4 1/4" = 1'-0"
SIDE ELEVATIONS



REFER TO STRUCTURAL FOUNDATION PLAN FOR CONTROL JOINT LOCATIONS AND FOOTING SIZES AND DETAILS, ARCHITECTURAL FOUNDATION PLAN FOR ELEVATION AND EXTERIOR DIMENSION PURPOSES ONLY.

1 1/4" = 1'-0"
FLOOR PLAN



2 1/4" = 1'-0"
FRONT / REAR ELEVATION



PLAN REVIEW COMMENTS FOR COFA-10-15-009383

Town of Bluffton
 Department of Growth Management
 20 Bridge Street P.O. Box 386 Bluffton, South Carolina 29910
 Telephone 843-706-4522
 STOCK FARM

Plan Type: Historic District **Apply Date:** 10/19/2015
Plan Status: Active **Plan Address:** 5739 Guilford Pl
 BLUFFTON, SC 29910
Case Manager: Erin Schumacher **Plan PIN #:** R610 039 000 1535 0000
Plan Description: The Applicant is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness for a two story residential.
STATUS: The application was reviewed at the October 26th HPRC meeting and comments were provided to the Applicant. A final application has been submitted and is being reviewed for conformance with the UDO and is scheduled for review by the full HPC at the February 3rd meeting.

Staff Review (HD)

Submission #:	Received:	Completed:		
Reviewing Dept.	Complete Date	Reviewer	Status	
1	10/20/2015	01/11/2016		
Beaufort Jasper Water and Sewer Review	01/11/2016	Dick Deuel	Approved with Conditions	
Comments: No Comments.				
Engineering Department Review - HD	01/11/2016	Karen Jarrett	Approved with Conditions	
Comments: 1. The detail provided in the conceptual plans does not provide enough information on how the parking for the building will interact with the parking area in front of the lot. As shown the plan indicates there will be a potential loss of on-street parking spaces or the residential parking area will potentially be impacted by vehicles parking in the on street parking area. Clarify how the residential parking impacts the on-street parking. (UDO Section 5.7.4.A.5)				
Growth Management Dept Review (HD)	01/11/2016	Katie Peterson	Approved with Conditions	
Comments: 1. As the project moves toward Final submittal, provide architectural details for the typical window, railing, corner board and water table trim, a section through the eave, and a landscape plan as not enough information was provided in the submittal to review for conformance with the UDO (Applications Manual). Please also note, that a Town of Bluffton Tree Removal Permit is required for any tree 14" (DBH) or greater located on a single family lot and proposed for removal (UDO Section 3.22.2.A.). 2. Provide manufacturer's cut sheet for the Corrugated Metal roof and faux louver (Application Checklist). 3. Relocate the proposed parking as parking is not permitted in front of buildings. (UDO Section 5.15.7.D) 4. Recommendation: Consider the adding piers under the front porch to break up the massing of the Tabby Shell foundation (UDO Section 5.15.6.F.2.d).				
HPRC Review	01/11/2016	Erin Schumacher	Approved with Conditions	
Comments: 1. On the left and right side elevations, the rear porch with steps down to grade and HVAC compressor enclosure do not match what is depicted on the floor plan. Update plans to coordinate floor plans and elevations. 2. The window at the stairs on the rear elevation, above the HVAC compressor, looks as though stair framing will pass behind the window. Consider redesigning the stair as this is not an ideal configuration. 3. Reconsider the design of the second flood dormer.				
Stormwater Review	01/11/2016	William Baugher	Approved with Conditions	

Comments:

1. A Sediment and Erosion Control Plan will need to be submitted. At a minimum silt fence and inlet protection will need to be installed according to the development plan for Stock Farm.

Addressing Review	10/21/2015	Theresa Thorsen	Approved
Comments: No Comments.			

Plan Review Case Notes:

STOCK FARM DEVELOPMENT, LLC
BOX 2570
BLUFFTON, SC 29910

20 January 2016

Erin Schumacher
Department of Growth management
Town of Bluffton
By Hand

Reference: Lots 1A-4A (Eugene Marks)—Stock Farm

The Habitat and Architectural Board (HARB) of Stock Farm has reviewed the plans for the residential structures on the lots referenced above. The Board considers the residential design and ancillary buildings meet the guidelines established by Stock Farm.

The plans reflect areas at which sidewalk cuts will be made to provide vehicular access to a particular lot. The HARB concurs in these modifications.

The HARB recommends the award of a Certificate of Appropriateness.



Emmett McCracken
Manager

Order on Variance Application
Board of Zoning Appeals
Town of Bluffton, SC



Permit Application No: ZONE-6-15-9269

Date Application Filed: 6/30/2015

Applicant(s): Pearce Scott Architects

Property Owner(s): Eugene Marks

COPY

The Town of Bluffton Board of Zoning Appeals held a public hearing on July 21, 2015 to consider the appeal for a variance from the strict application of the zoning provisions as set forth in the Unified Development Ordinance for the following:

The Applicant requests several variances from the Town of Bluffton Unified Development Ordinance, Section 5.15.5.C, for property, which is identified by Beaufort County Tax Map Numbers R610-039-000-1531-0000, R610-039-000-1532-0000, R610-039-000-1533-0000, R610-039-000-1534-0000, R610-039-000-1535-0000 and R610-039-000-1536-0000 located on Guilford Place within the Stock Farm Development in the Old Town Bluffton Historic District and zoned Neighborhood General-HD. The specific variances requested to allow flexibility in building placement are as follows:

1. Reduce the Front Build-to Zone requirement, which ranges from 10-20 feet depending on the building type, to five feet;
2. Reduce the Rear Setback requirement, which ranges from 25-30 feet depending on the building type, to 15 feet; and
3. Reduce the Side Setback requirement, which ranges from 10-15 feet depending on the building type, to five feet.

After consideration of the evidence and arguments presented, the Board makes the following findings of fact and conclusions:

1. The Board concludes that Applicant has does not have an unnecessary hardship because there are extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property (Lots 1A-5A) based on the following findings of fact:

As detailed in the Board of Zoning Appeals Staff Report dated July 21, 2015, the following extraordinary and exceptional conditions exist resulting in an unnecessary hardship for the reasonable use and enjoyment of the property:

- A. The majority of the subject lots are undersized when compared to the other lots within the "Village Commercial District" (generally lots along May River Road and Guilford Place) within Stock Farm;
- B. Specifically, Lots 1A-5A contain conditions that may be considered peculiar especially as they are considered in relationship to other similar lots in the same district. These existing lot conditions complicate the options available for siting a dwelling unit; and
- C. The application of the Ordinance to this property would unreasonably restrict the utilization of the properties in a manner consistent with others in the Old Town Bluffton Historic District.

2. The Board concludes that these conditions do do not generally apply to other property in the vicinity based on the following finding of fact:

As detailed in the Board of Zoning Appeals Staff Report dated July 21, 2015, the following conditions only apply to these properties when compared to other properties in the vicinity:

- A. The existing conditions of some of the lots do not apply to other properties within the "Village Commercial" district;
- B. Lots 1A through 5A contain lot depths that are approximately 42% smaller than the average depth of other properties in the district;
- C. Lots 2A, 4A and 5A display lot widths that are approximately 20% smaller than the average width of other properties in the district; and
- D. Lots 1A-5A have lot areas that are approximately 45% smaller than the average lot area of other properties in the district.

3. The Board concludes that because of these conditions, the application of the Ordinance to the particular piece of property would would not effectively prohibit or unreasonably restrict the utilization of the properties based on the following findings of fact:

As detailed in the Board of Zoning Appeals Staff Report dated July 21, 2015, the following conditions exist resulting in the Ordinance unreasonably restricting the utilization of the properties:

- A. Lots 1A-5A contain conditions that may be considered peculiar especially as they are considered in relationship to other similar lots in the same district. These existing lot conditions complicate the options available for siting a dwelling unit; and
- B. The application of the Ordinance to this property would unreasonably restrict the utilization of the property in a manner consistent with others in the Old Town Bluffton Historic District.

4. The Board concludes that authorization of the variance will will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property or to the public good, and the character of the district will will not be harmed by the granting of the variance based on the following findings of fact:

As detailed in the Board of Zoning Appeals Staff Report dated July 21, 2015, authorization of the variances will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent properties or to the public good, nor will the character of the district be harmed. The scale of the proposed buildable area is consistent with the existing and intended character of the neighborhood.

The Board, therefore, orders that the variance is:

- Denied
- Granted
- Granted, subject to the following condition(s):

COPY

1. Side yard setbacks at 5 feet are granted for Lots 1A-5A;
2. Rear yard setbacks at 20 feet are granted for Lots 1A-5A;
3. A buffer in accordance with UDO Section 5.3.7.B.2&3 be implemented within the full width of the rear yard setback for Lots 1A-5A; and
4. No variances are granted for Lot 6A.

Attachments:

1. Board of Zoning Appeals Staff Report Dated July 21, 2015.

Approved by the Board by Majority Vote:

Date Order Issued: 07/21/15



Michael Tripka, Chairman, Board of Zoning Appeals



Rocio Rexrode, Secretary, Board of Zoning Appeals,
Growth Management Coordinator

Date mailed to parties in interest: 08/05/15

Notice of appeal to Circuit Court must be filed within 30 days after date this Order was mailed.